UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,467	02/14/2007	Alessandro Facchin	78857.105669	1533
86528 King & Spaldin	7590 05/07/201 lg LLP	EXAMINER		
401 Congress Avenue			KIM, CHRISTOPHER S	
Suite 3200 Austin, TX 78701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

AustinUSPTO@kslaw.com AustinIP@kslaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/597,467	FACCHIN, ALESSANDRO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher S. Kim	3752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A  2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-12 and 16-20 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-12 and 16-20 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
	0.0		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a cepted or b) for objected to by the lead of a cepted of the drawing o	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat*  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/597,467 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 23, 2010 has been entered.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,467 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

Claim 9 appears to be directed to the embodiment of figure 6. The disclosure, as originally filed, fails to disclose a cavity formed by ball 228 and needle 22 being "at least partially filled with a material affixed to an inner wall of the cavity" as limited by parent

claim 1.

5. Claims 3-5, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the material of a suitable stiffness" in line 8. There

is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 19 appears to be directed to the embodiment of figure 6 where the annual-

shaped cavity 224 is formed by the needle 22 and ball 228. Parent claim 11 limits the

cavity to be completely empty. Applicant argues that Palma's cavity is not completely

empty because the cavity is filled by post 90. It is uncertain how applicant's annular

cavity 224 can be completely empty but Palma's annular cavity does not constitute a

completely empty cavity.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. Claims 11, 12, 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Palma (4,423,842).

Palma discloses a valve body comprising:

a needle 8;

a cartridge 32;

Application/Control Number: 10/597,467 Page 4

Art Unit: 3752

```
a recess 45;
```

a seat plate 46 comprising:

a needle seat 55;

the needle 8 further comprising:

a seat-part 73 comprising:

a sealing area 74;

a cavity 91;

wherein the cavity 91 is completely empty (the cavity is annual in shape formed by wall 91 and post 90).

The functional recitation "...makes the seat-part flexible in the sealing area..." requires the ability to flex. Substantially all materials are capable of some degree of flexure. While features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. MPEP 2114.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lambert et al. (6,338,445) in view of Stier (6,631.854).

Lambert discloses a valve body comprising:

a needle 12;

a cartridge 10;

a needle seat 13a;

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/597,467

Art Unit: 3752

```
the needle further comprising:

a seat-part comprising:

a sealing area 12b;
```

a cavity 17a, 23, 27;

a material 24;

a sack volume 37;

an actuator unit (fuel pump).

Lambert discloses the seat-part being flexible in figures 6 and 7 and in column 9, lines 55-60.

Lambert differs from what is being claimed in the cartridge comprising a seat plate. Lambert's seat plate is integral with the cartridge.

Stier discloses a fuel injector valve having a cartridge 2 having a seat plate 6.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have made the cartridge of Lambert into two parts to include a seat plate as taught by Stier to ease manufacturing.

# Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed March 23, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Lambert does not teach a cavity that is at least partially filled with a material affixed to an inner wall of the cavity. Lambert discloses a material 24 affixed to tan inner wall of cavity 17a, 23, 27.

Art Unit: 3752

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-4905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 AM - 5:00

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Len Tran can be reached on (571) 272-1184. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher S. Kim/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3752

CK